

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 SHARON BARNUM, et al.,  
11 Plaintiff(s),

Case No.: 2:16-cv-02866-RFB-NJK

12 v.

**Order**

13 EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES,  
14 LLC,

[Docket No. 125]

15 Defendant(s).

16 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to seal and/or redact certain exhibits filed in  
17 conjunction with a motion to compel and for sanctions. Docket No. 125. A hearing is set for  
18 August 22, 2018. The Court does not require a hearing on the two FIS Card exhibits at issue.<sup>1</sup>

19 With respect to Exhibit J, FIS Card indicates that the document has already been filed  
20 publicly and sealing is not sought. Docket No. 164 at 1 n.1.<sup>2</sup> With respect to Exhibit I, that  
21 compact disc includes batch reports with extensive information. FIS Card represents it maintains  
22 such information as confidential and that disclosure of that information would enable competitors  
23 to reverse engineer its proprietary information. *See* Docket No. 164 at 4. The Court finds such

24  
25  
26 <sup>1</sup> The hearing will proceed as scheduled with respect to the remaining exhibits.

27 <sup>2</sup> The publicly-filed version of this document includes redaction to Plaintiff's home  
28 addresses. Docket No. 96-32. Such redactions are proper without a court order. *See* Local Rule  
IC 6-1(a)(5).

1 showing satisfies the applicable good cause standard. *See, e.g., Kamakana v. City & County of*  
2 *Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006).

3 Accordingly, the motion to seal is **DENIED** as moot with respect to Exhibit J and the  
4 motion to seal is **GRANTED** as to Exhibit I.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: August 21, 2018

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 Nancy J. Koppe  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28